Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

Edited by J. Siekmann

Subseries of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

446

Lutz Plümer

Termination Proofs for Logic Programs



Springer-Verlag

Berlin Heidelberg New York London Paris Tokyo Hong Kong Barcelona Author
Lutz Plümer
Universität Dortmund, Fachbereich Informatik
Postfach 50 05 00, D-4600 Dortmund 50, FRG



CR Subject Classification (1987); D.2.4, E.3.1, E.4.1, I.2.2-3

ISBN 3-540-52837-7 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York ISBN 0-387-52837-7 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in other ways, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is only permitted under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its version of June 24, 1985, and a copyright fee must always be paid. Violations fall under the prosecution act of the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1990 Printed in Germany

Printing and binding: Druckhaus Beltz, Hemsbach/Bergstr. 2145/3140-543210 — Printed on acid-free paper

Preface

This volume presents a technique for the automatic generation of termination proofs for logic programs. Such proofs can easily be achieved as long as recursive calls operate on arguments which are proper subterms of those originally given. If a procedure operating on recursive data structures has local variables in its recursive literals, termination proofs are difficult. Simplification orderings, which are often used to prove termination of term rewriting systems, are not sufficient to handle these cases. We therefore introduce the notion of linear predicate inequalities. These compare the sizes of tuples of terms of literals occurring in the minimal Herbrand model of a program. Term sizes are measured by linear norms. A technique for the automatic derivation of valid linear inequalities is described. This technique is based on the concept of AND/OR dataflow graphs. An algorithm which uses linear inequalities in termination proofs is given. The assumption that all recursion is direct keeps our approach efficient. We show, however, how mutual recursion can be eliminated by static program transformation. Finally we discuss how the scope of our technique can be enlarged by the integration of unfolding techniques.

This book is a slightly revised version of my thesis [PLU89]. It is based on research carried out within the EUREKA project EU 56 PROTOS. Research has been made possible by a grant from the Minister für Wissenschaft und Forschung des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen. Partners of the PROTOS project, which started in April 1987 for a duration of three years, have been ETH Zürich, Schweizerische Bankgesellschaft, Sandoz AG, Belgian Institute of Management, IBM Deutschland, Universität Dortmund and Universität Oldenburg.

I am indebted to A. B. Cremers who inspired and supervised this research. I thank H. Ganzinger for his interest in this work and for many fruitful comments. Thanks also go to the colleagues from the "Logic Programming Group", namely W. Burgard, E. Eder, S. Lüttringhaus and A. Ultsch, and to the co-researchers of the PROTOS project. Discussions with R. Barbuti and M. Martelli during a research visit at the University of Pisa helped to clarify some of the ideas presented here. M. Schmidt deserves special thanks for manifold assistance including the software implementation of the techniques described in this book. Thanks also to Birgit Hühne for her careful grammatical proof-reading.

Dortmund, April 1990

Lutz Plümer

CONTENTS

•	and vadeton	•
2	Basic Notions	6
	2.1 Logic Programs	6
	2.2 Model theoretic and fixed point semantics	9
	2.3 SLD-Resolution	12
	2.4 SLDNF-Resolution	15
3	Static Program Properties and Transformations	17
	3.1 Recursion	17
	3.2 Modes	19
	3.3 Types	22
	3.4 Partial Orderings and Predicate Inequalities	25
	3.5 Unfolding	36
4	Terminating Logic Programs	4 1
	4.1 Proof Trees	43
	4.2 Terminating Queries.	44
	4.3 Recursive Loops	46
	4.4 Structural and Primitive Recursion	48
	4.5 Bounded Recursion	53
5	The Problem of Local Variables	61
	5.1 Local Variables and Term Orderings	61
	5.2 Turing Computability	63
	5.3. Local Variables and Computed Values	67

6	AND/OR Dataflow Graphs	72
	6.1 Problem Statement	72
	6.2 AND/OR Dataflow Graphs	.,,77
	6.3 Linear Inequalities for Augmented Goals	81
7	A Termination Proof Procedure	85
	7.1 The Basic Approach	85
	7.2 γ - Constraints	87
	7.3 Linear Predicate Inequalities and Modes	93
	7.4 Termination Proofs Using Predicate Inequalities	95
	7.5 The Bounded Term Size Property	103
	7.6 A Self-Application Experiment	104
8	Integration of Unfolding Techniques	109
8	Integration of Unfolding Techniques 8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding	- * *
8		110
8	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding	110
8	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding	110
8	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding. 8.2 Improving Inequalities by Unfolding. 8.3 Elimination of 'Bad' Clauses.	110
8	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding. 8.2 Improving Inequalities by Unfolding. 8.3 Elimination of 'Bad' Clauses. 8.4 Elimination of Mutual Recursion.	110112113118
	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding 8.2 Improving Inequalities by Unfolding 8.3 Elimination of 'Bad' Clauses 8.4 Elimination of Mutual Recursion 8.5 Termination of Unfolding	110112113118
9	8.1 Achieving Admissibility by Unfolding 8.2 Improving Inequalities by Unfolding 8.3 Elimination of 'Bad' Clauses 8.4 Elimination of Mutual Recursion 8.5 Termination of Unfolding 8.6 Comparison with Related Work	110112113118122127