# REPUBLIQUE ALGERIENNE DEMOCRATIQUE ET POPULAIRE Ministère de l'Enseignement Superieur et de la Recherche Scientifique

Université des Sciences et de la Technologie Houari Boumediene Faculte d'Electronique et Informatique

### THÉSE

Présentée pour l'obtention du diplôme de

#### DOCTORAT

 $\label{eq:encomposition} \begin{aligned} & En: INFORMATIQUE \\ & Sp\'{e}cialit\'{e}: Informatique Mobile \end{aligned}$ 

par

#### Miloud BAGAA

Intitulée

## Efficient data aggregation management in WSN

| Mme M. BOUKALA   | Professeur | USTHB  | Présidente            |
|------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------|
| M. N. BADACHE    | Professeur | USTHB  | Directeur de thèse    |
| M. D. DJENOURI   | M/R        | CERIST | Co-directeur de thèse |
| Mme S. MOUSSAOUI | Professeur | USTHB  | Examinatrice          |
| M. K. HIDOUCI    | Professeur | ESI    | Examinateur           |
| M. M. AISSANI    | M/C        | EMP    | Examinateur           |

## Acknowledgment

First of all, I thank ALLAH for his help during all my life. I would never have completed this work and gotten this far without the help of ALLAH. I would like to thank gratefully my supervisors Prof Nadjib Badache and Dr Djamel Djenouri, who helped me during this project. They had always time to listen to me about any topic from wireless sensor networks to my complaints about the obstacles. Without any complaints they reviewed all my writings. I would also thank Prof Mohamed Younis and Dr Abdelouahid Derhab for their help during my dissertation. I believe I would never have completed this work and gotten this far without the years of support of Prof Mohamed Younis and Dr Abdelouahid Derhab, and I am sure that a simple thank will never be enough to convey my gratitude for thier criticisms and praise. Many thanks are due to the chief of our division DTISI-CERIST, Pr Omar Nouali, for his support and help, as well as my colleagues at SenseLab, especially Noureddine Lasla, AbdElraouf Ouadjaout, Mohamed Amine Kafi, Messaoud Doudou, Lyes Kheladi and Houda Zeghilct. In the lab, we work, as brothers, together to achieve the goals. Many thanks for their helps during my dissertation. I would also thank Dr Yacine Challal, Dr Chafika Benzaid, Dr Adlen Ksentini, Dr Tarik Taleb, Khaled Zeraoulia, Manel Chenaiat and Kahena Zebane for their helps and praise. I would like to thank my entire family. Special thanks to my mother Khadra Salmi, father Elmouafek, and wife Sihem who have all made incredible and selfless sacrifices for me over many years that I might someday have this privilege. Last but not least, I would like to thank all of the committee members for reading my manuscript. To merit his approval is a great honor for me. I feel extremely lucky and humbled to have had the opportunity to learn from such an impressive and supportive committee. Each member, Malika Boukala, Samira Moussaoui, Walid-Khaled Hidouci and Mohamed Aissani has inspired me in their own way to love and pursue my academic desires.



#### Abstract

Wireless sensor networks (WSN) is becoming a very important research area, especially with recent advances in embedded systems and wireless communications. A WSN is composed of one or multiple base-stations and a set of sensor nodes deployed in an area called sensing field. It is designed to gather data from the network to the base-station (or sink) using hop-by-hop communication. Sensor nodes are severely resource-constrained, with limited processing power, storage, bandwidth, and battery energy. They can be used in many applications, such as monitoring unreachable and hostile areas. Replacing these batteries requires network redeployment, which can be a very expensive process. Energy limitation is the main concern of any WSN application. The communication between nodes is the greedy factor for the energy consumption. One important mechanism to save energy and increase the throughput is in-network data aggregation, which is often pursued to remove redundancy and correlate the data en-route to the base-station. In-network data aggregation removes redundancy, as well as unnecessary data forwarding. Therefore, hence cuts on the energy used in communications. Unfortunately, while aggregation eliminates redundancy, it makes data integrity verification more complicated since each node in the network can manipulate the data of the others. The harsh conditions that the nodes operate in and the limited on-board energy supply make them susceptible to failure. Moreover, the recent applications consider, in addition to energy efficiency, the data latency and accuracy as important factors. When in-network data aggregation is used, each node should wait a predefined time to receive data from all its predecessors. This has a negative impact on the application with delay constraint. For this reason, an efficient data aggregation protocol, in addition to the energy saving, should ensure the network reliability, reduce the time latency and prevent the attackers from falsifying the aggregate values.

This thesis focuses on the efficiency of in-network data aggregation management in terms of integrity control, reliability and time latency. First of all, the control integrity of in-network data aggregation are considered. A new protocol have been proposed that provides control integrity for aggregation in wireless sensor networks. The proposed protocol is based on two hops verification mechanism of data integrity. The proposed solution is essentially different from existing solutions in that it does not require referring to the base-station for verifying and detecting faulty aggregated readings. It thus provides a totally distributed scheme to guarantee data integrity. The numerical analysis and simulation results show that the proposed protocol yields significant savings in energy consumption while preserving data integrity, and outperforms comparable solutions with respect to some important performances criteria. Moreover, the thesis considers also the network reliability and data latency. We have proposed a set of solutions that take into account network reliability and data latency when aggregating data from the network nodes.

We have studied the problem of data aggregation scheduling in wireless sensor networks (WSNs) to minimize time latency. Prior work on this problem has adopted a sequential approach, in which a tree-based structure is used as an input for the scheduling algorithm. As the scheduling performance mainly depends on the supplied aggregation tree, such an approach cannot guarantee optimal performance. To address this problem, we have proposed three solutions, called DAS-ST, DAS-UT and DAS-MC. They intertwine the tree construction and MAC scheduling. These solutions apply a new parent selection criterion that helps to reduce the data latency. In contrast to DAS-ST and DAS-UT, DAS-MC reduces the data latency in disseminating aggregated data to the base-station over multi-frequency radio links. The thesis proposes also a general hardware-in-the-loop framework for validating data aggregation schemes on WSNs. The framework factors in practical issues such as clock synchronization, the interaction between the layers of the communication protocol stack, and the sensor node hardware. This thesis is also factored with the problem of network reliability with minimal data latency and then two

protocols, called RTAD and RMSA, are proposed. The aim is to form an aggregation tree such that there are k disjoint paths from each node to the base-station and, to find a collision-free schedule for node transmissions so that the aggregated data reaches the base-station in minimal time. The proposed solutions intertwine the formation of multi-path structure and the allocation of time slots to nodes, and assign parents to the individual nodes in order to maximize time slot reuse. RMSA also reduces the latency in disseminating aggregated data to the base-station over multi-frequency radio links. Simulations and numerical analysis results show that our protocols outperform the base-line approaches in terms of latency, reliability, and network lifetime.

## Contents

| 1 | Gen | ieral ii | ntroduction                                  | 1  |
|---|-----|----------|--|----|
| 2 | Bac | kgrou    | nd   | 4  |
|   | 2.1 | Introd   | duction                                      | 4  |
|   | 2.2 | Wirele   | ess Sensor Network                           | 4  |
|   |     | 2.2.1    | Wireless Sensor Nodes                        | 5  |
|   |     | 2.2.2    | Wireless Sensor Applications                 | 5  |
|   |     | 2.2.3    | Communication in wireless sensor network     | 6  |
|   | 2.3 | Wirele   | ess Communication and Some Relevant Concepts | 8  |
|   |     | 2.3.1    | Loop Free Topology                           | 8  |
|   |     | 2.3.2    | WT (Waiting Time)                            | 8  |
|   |     | 2.3.3    | Conflicting Nodes                            | 8  |
|   |     | 2.3.4    | Time-Slot                                    | 9  |
|   |     | 2.3.5    | Contention-Free                              | 9  |
|   |     | 2.3.6    | Contention-Based                             | 9  |
|   |     | 2.3.7    | Frame  | 9  |
|   |     | 2.3.8    | Multi-Channel Through Frequency Division     | 10 |
|   |     | 2.3.9    | Code Division Multiple Access                | 10 |
|   | 2.4 | Inforn   | nation Security and Cryptography             | 10 |
|   |     | 2.4.1    | Data Integrity                               | 10 |
|   |     | 2.4.2    | Data Origin Authentication                   | 11 |
|   |     | 2.4.3    | Data confidentiality                         | 12 |
|   |     | 2.4.4    | Non-Repudiation with Proof of Origin         | 13 |
|   |     | 2.4.5    | Intruder Nodes                               | 14 |
|   | 2.5 | Data     | Aggregation Goals                            | 14 |
|   |     | 2.5.1    | Energy Efficiency                            | 15 |
|   |     | 2.5.2    | Reducing Data Propagation Latency            | 15 |
|   |     | 2.5.3    | Data Accuracy                                | 15 |
|   |     | 2.5.4    | Aggregation Freshness                        | 15 |
|   |     | 2.5.5    | Collisions Avoidance                         | 15 |
|   |     | 2.5.6    | Ensuring Authentication and Data Integrity   | 16 |
|   |     | 2.5.7    | Preserving Energy Saving Benefit             | 16 |
|   | 2.6 | Data     | Aggregation Components                       | 16 |
|   |     | 2.6.1    | Aggregation Function                         | 16 |

|   | 0.7  | 2.6.2 Routing Scheme       19         Conclusion       22           |   |
|---|------|---|---|
|   | 2.7  | Conclusion  |   |
| 3 | Dat  | a aggregation security and scheduling in WSN 23                     | j |
|   | 3.1  | Introduction  | j |
|   | 3.2  | Secure aggregation in WSN   |   |
|   |      | 3.2.1 Notations   |   |
|   |      | 3.2.2 Literature Overview   |   |
|   |      | 3.2.3 Description of some solutions                                 | , |
|   | 3.3  | Data aggregation scheduling   | į |
|   | 0.0  | 3.3.1 Classification and taxonomy                                   |   |
|   |      | 3.3.2 Performance metrics and optimization mechanisms               |   |
|   | 3.4  | Slotted data aggregation scheduling protocols                       |   |
|   | 0.4  | 3.4.1 Temporal-multiplexing   |   |
|   |      | 3.4.2 Hybrid-multiplexing   |   |
|   |      | 3.4.3 Discussion of existing solutions                              |   |
|   |      | 3.4.4 Theoretical optimization of data latency mechanisms           |   |
|   | 0.5  | 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                             |   |
|   | 3.5  | Conclusion  | J |
| 4 | Effi | cient data aggregation with in-network integrity control for WSN 56 |   |
| - | 4.1  | Introduction  |   |
|   | 4.2  | Our Solution: SEDAN   |   |
|   | 1.2  | 4.2.1 Design Goals  |   |
|   |      | 4.2.2 Assumptions   |   |
|   |      | 4.2.3 Description   |   |
|   |      | 4.2.4 Example   |   |
|   | 4.3  | EPKE: Extended Pair-wise Key Establishment protocol                 |   |
|   | 4.3  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                               |   |
|   |      | 4.3.1 Initialization  |   |
|   |      | 4.3.2 One hop pair-wise key establishment                           |   |
|   |      | 4.3.3 Two hops pair-wise key establishment                          |   |
|   |      | 4.3.4 Tmin determination through experimentation                    |   |
|   | 4.4  | Analysis  |   |
|   |      | 4.4.1 Security analysis   |   |
|   |      | 4.4.2 Scalability analysis  | , |
|   | 4.5  | Simulation Results  |   |
|   |      | 4.5.1 Energy consumption  |   |
|   |      | 4.5.2 MTTD (Mean Time To Detection)                                 | í |
|   | 4.6  | Conclusion  | , |
| _ | mm   | at a later and a later and a later a few XXIGNI                     |   |
| 5 |      | cient data aggregation scheduling solutions for WSN 75              |   |
|   | 5.1  | Introduction  |   |
|   | 5.2  | DAS-ST and DAS-UT protocols   |   |
|   |      | 5.2.1 Algorithms Description  |   |
|   |      | 5.2.2 Example   |   |
|   |      | 5.2.3 Analysis  |   |
|   | 5.3  | DAS-MC: Data Aggregation Scheduling with Multi-Channel links        |   |
|   |      | 5.3.1 Problem definition and solution strategy                      |   |
|   |      | 5.3.2 DAS-MC description  |   |
|   | 5.4  | RTAD: Reliable and Timely dissemination of Aggregated Data          | ) |

|   | 5.5 | 5.4.1 Problem Definition and Solution Strategy                      | 90<br>91<br>94 |
|---|-----|---|----------------|
|   |     | data  | 94             |
|   |     | 5.5.1 Problem formulation and basic idea                            | 95             |
|   |     | 5.5.2 RMSA description  | 96             |
|   | 5.6 | Simulation Results  | 100            |
|   |     | 5.6.1 Time latency  | 101            |
|   |     | 5.6.2 The sample mean estimator variance $\sigma^2$                 | 102            |
|   |     | 5.6.3 Robustness  | 103            |
|   |     | 5.6.4 Percentage of exploited time slot gaps                        | 103            |
|   | 5.7 | Conclusion  | 105            |
|   |     |   |                |
|   |     | y-Latency Data Aggregation in WSNs: Novel Distributed Algorithm and | l              |
|   | Gen | neral Implementation Framework                                      | 106            |
|   | 6.1 | Introduction  | 106            |
|   | 6.2 | Integrated Aggregation Tree Formation and Transmission Scheduling   | 107            |
|   |     | 6.2.1 Problem Statement   | 107            |
|   |     | 6.2.2 Network Model and Initialization                              | 107            |
|   |     | 6.2.3 Algorithm Description   | 109            |
|   | 6.3 | Analysis  | 114            |
|   | 6.4 | Simulation Results  | 118            |
|   |     | 6.4.1 Time Latency  | 119            |
|   |     | 6.4.2 Parent nodes distribution among levels                        | 121            |
|   |     | 6.4.3 Percentage of exploited timeslot gaps                         | 121            |
|   |     | 6.4.4 Maximum load per node   | 121            |
|   |     | 6.4.5 Messages overhead   | 122            |
|   | 6.5 | Data Aggregation validation Framework                               | 123            |
|   |     | 6.5.1 Framework Overview  | 123            |
|   |     | 6.5.2 DAF Operation   | 124            |
|   | 6.6 | DAF-based Evaluation  | 126            |
|   |     | 6.6.1 Avrora-based Simulation Results                               | 126            |
|   |     | 6.6.2 Testbed Experimental Results                                  | 130            |
|   | 6.7 | Conclusion  | 131            |
| 7 | Con | aclusion and future directions                                      | 132            |
| • | 7.1 | Conclusion  | 132            |
|   | 7.2 | Future research directions  | 133            |
|   | Rik | oliography  | 144            |